

DUALITY FOR A COHEN-MACAULAY LOCAL RING

MOHAMMAD ALI ESMKHANI AND MASSOUD TOUSI

ABSTRACT. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. If R has a canonical module, then there are some interesting results about duality for this situation. In this paper, we show that one can indeed obtain similar these results in the case R has not a canonical module. Also, we give some characterizations of complete big Cohen-Macaulay modules of finite injective dimension and by using it some characterizations of Gorenstein modules over the $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of R are obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper, R will denote a commutative Noetherian local ring with nonzero identity and maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Unless stated otherwise, the notation will be the same as used in [2]. When discussing the completion of R or a module over R , we will mean the $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion.

The canonical module of a Cohen-Macaulay ring gives a useful technical tool in commutative algebra, algebraic geometry, and singularity theory via various duality theorems. Grothendieck defined the notion of a module of dualizing differentials for a complete local ring and proved some results on local duality for complete local rings and some properties of a module of dualizing differentials, see [7]. In [8], the theory of canonical modules for a general Noetherian local ring R was studied. We recall the definition of the canonical modules of a Noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ of dimension n . A finitely generated R -module C is called the canonical module of R if $C \otimes_R \hat{R} \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(R), E_R(R/\mathfrak{m}))$, see [8]. There are many unknowns about canonical modules over general local rings, existence theorems are among those. In [10], Reiten (and independently Foxby [5]) proved that a Cohen-Macaulay local ring has a canonical module if and only if it is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13C14, 13J10; Secondary 13D05, 13C13.

Key words and phrases. Canonical module, balanced big Cohen-Macaulay module, Cohen-Macaulay local ring, complete module, Cousin complex.

This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 87130214).

local ring. Therefore, there exists a Cohen-Macaulay local ring which does not have canonical modules. It is known that any two canonical modules of R are isomorphic. Also, it is known that over a Cohen-Macaulay local ring R , a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module C of type 1 and of finite injective dimension is a canonical module of R .

Section 2 develops a variation of Grothendieck duality, namely duality over a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and K the canonical module of the completion of R . The R -module K is not finitely generated in general. Many facts that are valid for finitely generated modules are not valid for K . But our achievement in this paper is that, K as an R -module has many properties similar to canonical modules. We do this by applying some results of H.B. Foxby [6], A.M. Simon [18] and R.Y. Sharp [15]. Simon [18] has established analogies between complete modules and finitely generated ones. The purpose of [15] is to show that balanced big Cohen-Macaulay modules (over an arbitrary local ring R) have many of the properties of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules. Foxby [6] has established some properties of the depth of finitely generated modules for a non-finitely generated modules.

One of the main result of this paper is

Theorem A (See Theorem 2.9) (Duality) *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d , K the canonical module of the completion of R , and D the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, K)$. Then*

i) D takes balanced big Cohen-Macaulay R -module to balanced big Cohen-Macaulay R -module.

ii) D takes exact sequence of balanced big Cohen-Macaulay R -modules to exact sequence.

iii) There exists a natural transformation $\tau : - \otimes_R \hat{R} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(-, K), K)$ such that τ_M sending $m \otimes \hat{r} \in M \otimes_R \hat{R}$ to the map $\alpha \mapsto \hat{r}\alpha(m)$ for $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(M, K)$ is an isomorphism when M is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module.

The purpose of Section 3 and 4 is to present some characterizations of K . We do this by characterizing the Gorenstein modules over $\hat{R}$. Gorenstein R -modules are those finitely generated R -modules for which the Cousin complex for M with respect

to M -height filtration provides a minimal injective resolution (see [13]). R.Y. Sharp obtained various characterizations and properties of Gorenstein modules in [13, Theorem 3.1]. Note that, it is shown that in [14] that any Gorenstein $\hat{R}$ -module is a finite sum of copies of K . First, we obtain some characterizations for complete big Cohen-Macaulay modules (see Proposition 3.2). For complete big Cohen-Macaulay modules of finite injective dimension a better characterization is provided:

Theorem B (See Theorem 3.4) *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and M a nonzero complete R -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) $\text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim(R)$ and for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{supp}_R(M)$, $\mu_R^i(\mathfrak{p}, M) \neq 0$ if and only if $i \neq \text{ht}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}})$.*
- ii) $\mu_R^i(\mathfrak{m}, M) = 0$ if and only if $i \neq \dim(R)$.*
- iii) M is a big Cohen-Macaulay R -module with respect to a system of parameters for R and M has finite injective dimension.*
- iv) $\text{depth}_R(M) = \dim(R)$ and M has finite injective dimension.*
- v) $\text{injdim}_R(M) = \text{depth}_R(M) = \dim_R(M) = \dim(R) = \text{depth}(R)$.*

Finally, we establish the following theorem

Theorem C (See Theorem 4.2) *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d and M a nonzero R -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) M is complete and big Cohen-Macaulay with respect to a system of parameters $x_1, \dots, x_d$ such that $\text{injdim}_R M$ and $\mu_R^d(\mathfrak{m}, M)$ are finite.*
- ii) M is a Gorenstein $\hat{R}$ -module.*
- iii) M is complete and for all Cohen-Macaulay R -module L of dimension t , we have*
 - a) $\text{Ext}_R^i(L, M) = 0$ for all $i \neq d - t$, and*
 - b) $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(L, M), M) \cong L \otimes_R F$, where F is a finitely generated free $\hat{R}$ -module.*

2. THE CANONICAL MODULES OF THE COMPLETION OF A COHEN-MACAULAY LOCAL RING

We begin this section, by recalling the definitions of the notion of depth, dimension of an R -module M , and balanced big Cohen-Macaulay modules (recall that M is not assumed to be finitely generated).

Definition and Remark 2.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring.

i) If M is an R -module, we define the dimension of M by

$$\dim_R(M) = \dim_R(R/\text{Ann}_R(M)).$$

By the height $\text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{m})$ of an R -module M we mean the dimension of the support $\text{Supp}_R(M)$ of M .

ii) In [6, Section 1], Foxby has established the concept of depth to any R -module M by means of the formula

$$\text{depth}_R(M) = \inf\{i \geq 0 \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) \neq 0\}.$$

For a nonzero M which is not finitely generated, it is possible for this depth to be ∞ . If the R -module M satisfies $\mathfrak{m}M \neq M$, then $\text{depth}_R(M) \leq \text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{m}) < \infty$ (see [6, Corollary 2.2]).

iii) (See [19, Definition 5.3.1]) Let M be an R -module with $\mathfrak{m}M \neq M$. Then $\text{dp}_R(M)$ is defined to be the supremum of the lengths of all M -sequence contained in $\mathfrak{m}$. Since R is Noetherian, $\text{dp}_R(M) < \infty$. It is well known that if M is nonzero finitely generated, then $\text{dp}_R(M) = \text{depth}_R(M)$.

iv) Let M be an R -module with $\mathfrak{m}M \neq M$. Then, by ii) and [19, Proposition 5.3.7 (ii)],

$$\text{dp}_R(M) \leq \text{depth}_R(M) \leq \text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{m}) \leq \dim_R(M) \leq \dim(R).$$

v) Let $a_1, \dots, a_n$ be a system of parameters (s.o.p.) for R . A (not necessarily finitely generated) R -module M is said to be a big Cohen-Macaulay R -module with respect to $a_1, \dots, a_n$ if $a_1, \dots, a_n$ is an M -sequence, that is if $M \neq (a_1, \dots, a_n)M$ and, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $((a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})M : a_i) = (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})M$. It will be convenient to use abbreviation b.C.M. for big Cohen-Macaulay.

vi) Let $a_1, \dots, a_n$ be a system of parameters for R and let M be b.C.M. R -module with respect to $a_1, \dots, a_n$. Then there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^t \subseteq (a_1, \dots, a_n)R$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n$ is an M -sequence. So, $\mathfrak{m}M \neq M$. Hence, by iv), we have

$$\text{dp}_R(M) = \text{depth}_R(M) = \text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim_R(M) = \dim(R).$$

vii) An R -module M is called balanced big Cohen-Macaulay if every system of parameter for R is an M -sequence (see [15, Definition 1.4]). It will be convenient to use abbreviation b.b.C.M. for balanced big Cohen-Macaulay. In [15,16], it is

shown that b.b.C.M. modules verify some of the properties of finitely generated Cohen-Macaulay modules.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and K the canonical module of $\hat{R}$. Then*

- i) K is complete with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic topology.
- ii) K is a b.b.C.M. R -module.
- iii) $\text{injdim}_R K < \infty$.

Proof. i) The natural R -homomorphism $\theta : K \longrightarrow \hat{K}$ is an isomorphism. This follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{m}^n K = (\mathfrak{m}\hat{R})^n K$ for all $n \geq 0$.

ii) Let $x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a system of parameters for R and let $\psi : R \longrightarrow \hat{R}$ be the natural ring homomorphism. Then $\psi(x_1), \dots, \psi(x_d)$ is an $\hat{R}$ -sequence, because R is Cohen-Macaulay. On the other hand, K is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $\hat{R}$ -module, and so $x_1, \dots, x_d$ is a K -sequence.

iii) This follows from the fact that any injective $\hat{R}$ -module is injective as an R -module. Note that the injective dimension of K as an $\hat{R}$ -module is finite. $\square$

Simon [18] has shown that complete modules behave similar to finitely generated modules in many respects. We summarize some important properties of complete modules from [18] in the following remark.

Remark 2.3. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, and let M and N be two complete R -modules. Then

- i) If $f : N \longrightarrow M$ is a morphism between R -modules such that $M = f(N) + \mathfrak{m}M$, then f is surjective.
- ii) For each R -module X , if $\text{Ext}_R^i(X, M) \neq 0$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(X, M) \neq \mathfrak{m} \text{Ext}_R^i(X, M)$.
- iii) $\text{injdim}_R(M) = \sup\{i \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) \neq 0\}$.
- iv) If M has finite injective dimension, then $\text{injdim}_R(M) = \text{depth } R$.
- v) If R has a big Cohen-Macaulay module C and a complete module M of finite injective dimension, then the ring R is Cohen-Macaulay.

To prove Theorem 2.9, we need the following five results.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and M an R -module of dimension $t \geq 1$ with $\mathfrak{m}M \neq M$ (M not necessarily finitely generated). If there exists an M -sequence $x_1, \dots, x_t$, then*

$$\dim_R M = \dim_R(M/x_1 M) + 1.$$

Proof. It is clear that $x_1 \in R \setminus Z_R(M)$ implies that $x_1 \in R \setminus Z_R(R/\text{Ann}_R M)$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}_R(M/x_1M) &\leq \dim_R(R/(\text{Ann}_R(M/x_1M))) \leq \dim_R(R/(\text{Ann}_R M + x_1R)) \\ &= \dim_R((R/\text{Ann}_R M)/x_1(R/\text{Ann}_R M)) \\ &= \dim_R(R/\text{Ann}_R M) - 1 \\ &= t - 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from [6, Corollary 2.2]. On the other hand, by [2, Proposition 1.2.3 and Lemma 1.2.4], $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M/x_1M) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq t - 2$. So $\text{depth}_R(M/x_1M) \geq t - 1$. Thus, the assertion follows. $\square$

Proposition 2.5. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring.*

- i) Let C be a complete R -module of injective dimension s . If M is an R -module and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l$ an M -sequence, then $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, C) = 0$ for $j > s - l$.*
- ii) Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d and C a b.b.C.M. R -module. If M is an R -module of dimension t , then $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, C) = 0$ for all $j < d - t$.*
- iii) Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d , C a complete R -module of finite injective dimension which is b.b.C.M. and M an R -module of dimension $t \geq 0$. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t$ be an M -sequence. If $\mathfrak{m}M \neq M$, then $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C) \neq 0$, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t$ is an $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C)$ -sequence and the dimension of $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C)$ is equal to t .*

Proof. i) The proof is same as [2, 3.3.3(b)], by replacing Nakayama's lemma by Remark 2.3 ii).

ii) We set $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Ann}_R M$. Since R is Cohen-Macaulay, we have

$$\text{ht}_R(\mathfrak{a}) = \dim R - \dim R/\mathfrak{a} = d - t.$$

Hence $\text{grade}_R(\mathfrak{a}) = d - t$, and so there exist $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d-t}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$ which is an R -sequence. Consequently, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d-t}$ is a C -sequence in $\mathfrak{a}$. Therefore, by [2, Proposition 1.2.3 and Lemma 1.2.4], we have $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, C) = 0$ for all $j < d - t$.

iii) We set $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, C) = N$ and use induction on t . Let $t = 0$. There exists a system of parameters $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d$ of R in $\text{Ann}_R(M)$. So, by [2, Lemma 1.2.4],

$$\text{Ext}_R^d(M, C) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, C/(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)C).$$

Since $C/(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)C \neq 0$ and $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)R \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(C/(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)C)$, we have $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Ass}_R(C/(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)C)$. Hence, there is an R -monomorphism $\varphi : R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow C/(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)C$. By viewing $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ as a nonzero vector space over $R/\mathfrak{m}$, we deduce that there is an R -epimorphism $\psi : M/\mathfrak{m}M \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}$. Hence $\varphi\psi\pi : M \rightarrow C/(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)C$ is a nonzero homomorphism in which $\pi : M \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}M$ is the natural R -epimorphism. So, $\text{Ext}_R^d(M, C) \neq 0$. Also, $\text{Ann}_R M \subseteq \text{Ann}_R N$. Therefore, $\dim_R N = 0$.

Now suppose, inductively that $t > 0$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{m}(M/x_1M) \neq (M/x_1M)$. Now by Lemma 2.4, $\dim_R(M/x_1M) = t - 1$. In view of i), ii) and the fact that $\text{injdim}_R(C) = \text{depth}(R) = \dim(R)$, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x_1} M \rightarrow M/x_1M \rightarrow 0$ induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{x_1} N \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{d-t+1}(M/x_1M, C) \rightarrow 0.$$

So that, by inductive hypothesis, $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t+1}(M/x_1M, C) \neq 0$, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t$ is an N -sequence and the dimension of $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t+1}(M/x_1M, C)$ is $t - 1$. Also, since $N \neq 0$, it follows from Remark 2.3 ii) that $\mathfrak{m}N \neq N$. So, by Lemma 2.4, $\dim_R N = t$, because $\dim_R(N/x_1N) = t - 1$. $\square$

In the following we state a result without proof, since the proof is same as that of [3, Proposition 21.12].

Lemma 2.6. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d , and C a b.b.C.M. R -module. If M is an R -module and $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, C) = 0$, then for any R -sequence x we have*

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, C)/x \text{Hom}_R(M, C) \cong \text{Hom}_{R/xR}(M/xM, C/xC)$$

by the homomorphism taking the class of map $\varphi : M \rightarrow C$ to the map $M/xM \rightarrow C/xC$ induced by φ .

Lemma 2.7. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, and let M and N be two complete R -modules. Suppose that $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ is a nonzero-divisor on M , $\varphi : N \rightarrow M$ an R -homomorphism and $\overline{\varphi} : N/xN \rightarrow M/xM$ is the R -homomorphism induced by φ . Then*

- i) If $\overline{\varphi}$ is an epimorphism, then φ is an epimorphism.*
- ii) If $\overline{\varphi}$ is a monomorphism, then φ is a monomorphism.*

Proof. i) If $\overline{\varphi}$ is an epimorphism, then $\varphi(N) + xM = M$. The assertion follows from Remark 2.3 i).

ii) Suppose that $\overline{\varphi}$ is a monomorphism, and let $K = \ker \varphi$. Then $xK = K$, by same argument to the proof of [3, Proposition 21.13]. So $K = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n K \subseteq \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n N$. But, since N is a complete R -module, we have $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n N = 0$, and so $K = 0$. $\square$

Proposition 2.8. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d and K the canonical module of the completion of R . The following assertions hold.*

- i) $\text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R), E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})) \cong K$.
- ii) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(K) \cong E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$.
- iii) *There is an R -isomorphism $\psi : \hat{R} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, K)$ which is such that $\psi(\hat{r}) = \hat{r} \text{id}_K$, for any $\hat{r} \in \hat{R}$.*

Proof. i) By using the Flat Base Change Theorem for local cohomology, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 K &\cong \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(H_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}^d(\hat{R}), E_{\hat{R}}(\hat{R}/\mathfrak{m}\hat{R})) \\
 &\cong \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) \otimes_R \hat{R}, E_{\hat{R}}(\hat{R}/\mathfrak{m}\hat{R})) \\
 &\cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R), \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{R}, E_{\hat{R}}(\hat{R}/\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}))) \\
 &\cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R), E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})).
 \end{aligned}$$

ii) In view of the Independence Theorem for local cohomology and [1, Theorem 11.2.8], we have $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(K) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}^d(K) \cong E_{\hat{R}}(\hat{R}/\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}) \cong E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$.

iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hom}_R(K, K) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(K, \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R), E_R(R/\mathfrak{m}))) \\
 &\cong \text{Hom}_R(K \otimes_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R), E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\
 &\cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(K), E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\
 &\cong \text{Hom}_R(E_R(R/\mathfrak{m}), E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\
 &\cong \hat{R}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here the third isomorphism comes from [1, Ex.6.1.9]. So, $\text{Hom}_R(K, K)$ is complete.

Now, we prove this part by induction on $d = \dim R$. When $d = 0$, R is complete, and so we have the result by [2, Theorem 3.3.4(d)]. Now suppose that $d > 0$ and the result has been proved for the smaller values. Let $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ be a nonzero-divisor on R . Then the module K/xK is the canonical module of $\hat{R}/x\hat{R}$. Also, there exists

a natural isomorphism $\hat{R}/x\hat{R} \cong (\widehat{R/xR})$. Hence, by the inductive hypothesis, we have an R/xR -isomorphism

$$\theta : \hat{R}/x\hat{R} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{R/xR}(K/xK, K/xK)$$

which is such that $\theta(\hat{r} + x\hat{R}) = \hat{r} \text{id}_{K/xK}$, for all $\hat{r} \in \hat{R}$. It follows from Lemma 2.2, Remark 2.3 and Proposition 2.5 i) that $\text{Ext}_R^1(K, K) = 0$. So, by Lemma 2.2 ii) and Lemma 2.6, we have an R -isomorphism

$$f : \text{Hom}_R(K, K)/x \text{Hom}_R(K, K) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{R/xR}(K/xK, K/xK)$$

by the homomorphism taking the class $\varphi : K \longrightarrow K$ to the map $K/xK \longrightarrow K/xK$ induced by φ . Let $\bar{\psi} : \hat{R}/x\hat{R} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, K)/x \text{Hom}_R(K, K)$ be the homomorphism induced by ψ . It is easy to see that $\theta = f\bar{\psi}$. Hence $\bar{\psi}$ is an R -isomorphism. The assertion follows from Lemma 2.7. $\square$

Now, we are ready to prove one of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 2.9. (*Duality*) *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d , K the canonical module of the completion of R , and D the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, K)$. Then*

- i) *D takes b.b.C.M. R -module to b.b.C.M. R -module.*
- ii) *D takes exact sequence of b.b.C.M. R -modules to exact sequence.*
- iii) *There exists a natural transformation $\tau : - \otimes_R \hat{R} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(-, K), K)$ such that τ_M sending $m \otimes \hat{r} \in M \otimes_R \hat{R}$ to the map $\alpha \mapsto \hat{r}\alpha(m)$ for $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(M, K)$ is an isomorphism when M is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module.*

Proof. i) Let M be a b.b.C.M. R -module. Then, by Remark and definition 2.1 vi), $\mathfrak{m}M \neq M$ and $\dim_R(M) = d$. So, the claim follows from Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.5 iii).

ii) If $0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M'' \longrightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of b.b.C.M. R -modules, then we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M'', K) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, K) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M', K) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M'', K).$$

Using Lemma 2.2, Remark 2.3 iv) and Proposition 2.5 i), we obtain $\text{Ext}_R^1(M'', K) = 0$. So, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow D(M'') \longrightarrow D(M) \longrightarrow D(M') \longrightarrow 0.$$

iii) By Proposition 2.8 iii), there is an isomorphism $\psi : \hat{R} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, K)$ which is such that $\psi(\hat{r}) = \hat{r} \text{id}_K$ for any $\hat{r} \in \hat{R}$. Also, we know that there is the natural transformation of functors $\sigma : - \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(K, K) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(-, K), K)$ such that for each R -module M , $(\sigma_M(m \otimes f))(g) = f(g(m))$, for $m \in M$, $f \in \text{Hom}_R(K, K)$, and $g \in \text{Hom}_R(M, K)$ (see [1, Lemma 10.2.16]). We define a natural transformation of functors

$$\tau : - \otimes_R \hat{R} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(-, K), K)$$

which is such that for each R -module M , $\tau_M = \sigma_M(\text{id}_M \otimes_R \psi)$. Let M be a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module and let $F_1 \xrightarrow{g} F_0 \xrightarrow{f} M \longrightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence where F_0 and F_1 are finitely generated free R -modules.

We consider the following cases:

Case 1. $\ker(f) \neq 0, \ker(g) \neq 0$. We consider two exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \ker(f) &\xrightarrow{\rho} F_0 \xrightarrow{f} M \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 \longrightarrow \ker(g) &\xrightarrow{\mu} F_1 \xrightarrow{g} \ker(f) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\ker(f)$ and $\ker(g)$ are maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -modules.

By the above facts, i) and ii), we obtain the following two exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow D(D(\ker(f))) \longrightarrow D(D(F_0)) \longrightarrow D(D(M)) \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow D(D(\ker(g))) \longrightarrow D(D(F_1)) \longrightarrow D(D(\ker(f))) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, we have the exact sequence

$$D(D(F_1)) \longrightarrow D(D(F_0)) \longrightarrow D(D(M)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We obtain the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} F_1 \otimes_R \hat{R} & \longrightarrow & F_0 \otimes_R \hat{R} & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R \hat{R} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \tau_{F_1} & & \downarrow \tau_{F_0} & & \downarrow \tau_M & & \\ D(D(F_1)) & \longrightarrow & D(D(F_0)) & \longrightarrow & D(D(M)) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Now, by using [11, Lemma 3.59], we get that σ_{F_0} and σ_{F_1} are isomorphism and therefore τ_{F_0} and τ_{F_1} are also isomorphism. So, τ_M is an isomorphism.

Case 2. Either $\ker(f) = 0$ or $\ker(g) = 0$. In view of the above argument, it is obvious. $\square$

The following example shows that Theorem 2.9 iii) does not hold for b.b.C.M. R -modules.

Example 2.10. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension zero and F a non-finitely generated free R -module. Then the canonical module of R is $R = E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$ and F is a b.b.C.M. R -module. Suppose that τ_F is an isomorphism. This means that F is Matlis reflexive and so by [4, Proposition 1.3], we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0,$$

where A is an Artinian R -module and L a finitely generated R -module. Therefore, $\mu_R^0(\mathfrak{m}, F)$ is finite. This is a contradiction.

The following corollary is a generalization of Theorem 2.9.

Corollary 2.11. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d and let K be the canonical module of the completion of R . For all integers $t = 0, 1, \dots, d$ and all R -modules M of dimension t which is such that $\mathfrak{m}M \neq M$ and there exists an M -sequence $x_1, \dots, x_t$ we have*

i) $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, K)$ is an R -module of dimension t and $x_1, \dots, x_t$ is an $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, K)$ -sequence,

ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, K) = 0$ for all $i \neq d - t$, and

iii) if M is finitely generated, then there exists an isomorphism $M \otimes_R \hat{R} \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, K), K)$ which in the case $d = t$ is just the natural isomorphism from $M \otimes_R \hat{R}$ into the bidual of M with respect to K .

Proof. We obtain i) and ii) from Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.5.

iii) For $t = d$, the assertion follows from Theorem 2.9. By [9, page 140, Lemma 2(i)], we deduce that

$$\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, K), K) \cong \text{Hom}_{R/\mathbf{x}R}(\text{Hom}_{R/\mathbf{x}R}(M, K/\mathbf{x}K), K/\mathbf{x}K)$$

for an R -sequence $\mathbf{x}$ of length $d - t$ which is contained in $\text{Ann}_R(M)$. Since $\dim_{R/\mathbf{x}R}(M) = t$ and $\dim R/\mathbf{x}R = t$, so M is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $R/\mathbf{x}R$ -module. The module $K/\mathbf{x}K$ is the canonical module of $\hat{R}/\mathbf{x}\hat{R}$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.9 and above isomorphism, there is a natural isomorphism

$$M \otimes_{R/\mathbf{x}R} \widehat{R/\mathbf{x}R} \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(M, K), K).$$

In view of $\widehat{R/\mathbf{x}R} \cong \hat{R}/\mathbf{x}\hat{R} \cong R/\mathbf{x}R \otimes_R \hat{R}$, we obtain the result. $\square$

3. SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS FOR COMPLETE BIG COHEN-MACAULAY MODULES OF FINITE INJECTIVE DIMENSION

We need to recall some definitions.

Definition 3.1. Let R be a Noetherian ring and let M be an R -module.

i) The small support, or little support, of M denoted by $\text{supp}_R(M)$, is defined by

$$\text{supp}_R(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \infty\}.$$

It is clear that $\text{supp}_R(M) \subseteq \text{Supp}_R(M)$; if M is finitely generated, then these two sets are equal, but in general this need not be the case.

ii) A filtration of $\text{Spec}(R)$ [16, 1.1] is a descending sequence $\mathcal{F} = (F_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of subsets of $\text{Spec}(R)$, so that

$$F_0 \supseteq F_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F_i \supseteq F_{i+1} \cdots,$$

with the property that, for each $i \geq 0$, each member of $\partial F_i = F_i \setminus F_{i+1}$ is a minimal member of F_i with respect to inclusion. We say that $\mathcal{F}$ admits M if $\text{Supp}_R(M) \subseteq F_0$. Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is a filtration of $\text{Spec}(R)$ that admits M . The Cousin complex $C(\mathcal{F}, M)$ for M with respect to $\mathcal{F}$ has the form

$$0 \xrightarrow{d^{-2}} M \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} M^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^n \xrightarrow{d^n} M^{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

with $M^n = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in \partial F_n} (\text{coker } d^{n-2})_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for all $n \geq 0$. The homomorphisms in this complex have the following properties: for $m \in M$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in \partial F_0$, the component of $d^{-1}(m)$ in $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is $m/1$; for $n > 0$, $x \in M^{n-1}$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in \partial F_n$, the component of $d^{n-1}(x)$ in $(\text{coker } d^{n-2})_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is $\bar{x}/1$, where $- : M^{n-1} \longrightarrow \text{coker } d^{n-2}$ is the canonical epimorphism. The fact that such a complex can be constructed is explained in [16, 1.3].

In the following proposition, we obtain some characterizations for complete big Cohen-Macaulay modules.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and M a nonzero complete R -module. Denote by $\mathcal{D}(R) = (D_i)_{i \geq 0}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}(M) = (H_i)_{i \geq 0}$) the dimension filtration of $\text{Spec}(R)$ (resp. M -height filtration of $\text{Spec}(R)$) i.e. $D_i = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \leq \dim(R) - i\}$ (resp. $H_i = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(M) \mid \text{ht}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq i\}$). Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) M is a b.C.M. R -module with respect to an s.o.p. for R .
- ii) $\text{dp}_R(M) = \dim_R(M) = \text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{depth}_R(M) = \dim(R)$.
- iii) $\text{depth}_R(M) = \dim(R)$.

iv) M is a b.b.C.M. R -module.

v) $C(\mathcal{D}(R), M)$ is exact.

vi) Both the complexes $C(\mathcal{D}(R), M)$ and $C(\mathcal{H}(M), M)$ are isomorphic and $C(\mathcal{H}(M), M)$ is exact.

vii) $C(\mathcal{H}(M), M)$ is exact and $\text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim(R)$.

Proof. $i) \Rightarrow ii)$ This is Definition and Remark 2.1 vi).

$ii) \Rightarrow iii)$ It is clear.

$iii) \Rightarrow iv)$ By [18, Proposition 10.1], for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{supp}_R(M)$, we have

$$\text{depth}_R(M) \leq \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \leq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) + \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \leq \dim(R).$$

Therefore, $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = \dim(R)$, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{supp}_R(M)$. On the other hand, since M is complete, we have $\mathfrak{m}M \neq M$. Now, by [20, Theorem 3.3], we deduce that M is b.b.C.M.

$iv) \Rightarrow v)$ This follows from [16, Corollary 3.7].

$v) \Rightarrow vi)$ This follows from [17, Theorem 3.6].

$vi) \Rightarrow vii)$ M is b.b.C.M. by [16, Corollary 3.7]. Hence, by Definition and Remark 2.1 vi), we have $\text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim(R)$.

$vii) \Rightarrow iii)$ Since M is a complete R -module, $\mathfrak{m}M \neq M$. Therefore by Definition and Remark 2.1 ii), $\text{depth}_R(M)$ is finite, So $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{supp}_R(M)$. Hence, by [17, Corollary 3.5], we have $\text{depth}_R(M) = \text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{m})$.

$iv) \Rightarrow i)$ It is clear. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and M a b.b.C.M. R -module of finite injective dimension. Then, for every $i > \dim_R(M)$, we have $\mu_R^i(\mathfrak{m}, M) = 0$.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on $\text{depth}(R)$. Let $\text{depth}(R) = 0$. Then $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Ass}(R)$. Thus we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0,$$

which implies the following exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(R, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(C, M),$$

for each $i \geq 0$. Let $r = \text{injdim}_R(M)$. If $r > 0$, then $\text{Ext}_R^r(R, M) = 0$, and consequently $\text{Ext}_R^r(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) = 0$. Since $\dim(R) = 0$, $\mathfrak{m}$ is the unique prime ideal of R . Hence $\text{injdim}_R(M) < r$ by [2, Corollary 3.1.12], which is a contradiction. The

assertion follows from $r = 0$. Now suppose, inductively, that $\text{depth}(R) > 0$, and the result has been proved for the smaller value. Since $\text{depth}(R) > 0$, there exists $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ which is an R -sequence and M -sequence. Since M is a b.b.C.M. R -module, M/xM is a b.b.C.M. R/xR -module by [15, Lemma 2.3]. Therefore, in view of Definition and Remark 2.1 vi), we have

$$\dim_{R/xR}(M/xM) = \dim(R/xR) = \dim(R) - 1 = \dim_R(M) - 1.$$

Also, it follows from [9, p. 140, Lemma 2(i)] that

$$\text{Ext}_{R/xR}^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M/xM) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/\mathfrak{m}, M),$$

for all $i \geq 0$ and $\text{injdim}_{R/xR}(M/xM) < \infty$. Thus, by inductive hypothesis, for $i > \dim_R(M) - 1 = \dim_{R/xR}(M/xM)$, we have $\text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) = 0$. The assertion follows from this. $\square$

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and M a nonzero complete R -module. Let $\mathcal{D}(R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}(M)$) be the dimension filtration of $\text{Spec}(R)$ (resp. M -height filtration of $\text{Spec}(R)$). Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) $C(\mathcal{D}(R), M)$ is a minimal injective resolution for M .*
- ii) $C(\mathcal{H}(M), M)$ is a minimal injective resolution for M and $\text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim(R)$.*
- iii) $\text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim(R)$ and for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{supp}_R(M)$, $\mu_R^i(\mathfrak{p}, M) \neq 0$ if and only if $i \neq \text{ht}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}})$.*
- iv) $\mu_R^i(\mathfrak{m}, M) = 0$ if and only if $i \neq \dim(R)$.*
- v) M is a b.b.C.M. R -module of finite injective dimension.*
- vi) M is a b.C.M. R -module with respect to a system of parameters for R and M has finite injective dimension.*
- vii) $\text{depth}_R(M) = \dim(R)$ and M has finite injective dimension.*
- viii) $\text{injdim}_R(M) = \text{depth}_R(M) = \text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim_R(M) = \dim(R) = \text{depth}(R)$.*

Proof. *i) $\Leftrightarrow$ ii)* This follows from Proposition 3.2.

ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{supp}_R(M)$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}(M)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the filtration $(F_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of $\text{Spec}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$, where $F_i = \{ \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in H_i \text{ and } \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \}$. Then, by [12, Theorem 3.5], we have

$$C(\mathcal{H}(M)_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong C(\mathcal{H}(M), M)_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

So, $\text{injdim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \text{ht}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}})$. On the other hand, since $C(\mathcal{H}(M), M)$ is exact, it follows from [17, Corollary 3.5] that $\text{ht}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Therefore $\text{injdim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$. This ends this part.

iii) $\Rightarrow$ ii) Use the notation

$$0 \xrightarrow{b^{-2}} M \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B^0 \longrightarrow B^1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow B^n \xrightarrow{b^n} B^{n+1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

for the Cousin complex $C(\mathcal{H}(M), M)$. By, [17, Corollary 3.5], $C(\mathcal{H}(M), M)$ is exact. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $(\text{coker } b^{n-2})_{\mathfrak{q}}$ be the nonzero direct summand of B^n . It is enough to show that $(\text{coker } b^{n-2})_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is injective as an $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module. Note that every injective $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module is an injective R -module.

We have $\text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{q}) = n$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Supp}_R M$. Hence, by [17, Corollary 2.6], $(\text{coker } b^{n-2})_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -isomorphic to $H_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}}^n(M_{\mathfrak{q}})$, the n -th local cohomology module of $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ with respect to the maximal ideal of the $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Since $H_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}}^n(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \neq 0$, $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}M_{\mathfrak{q}} \neq M_{\mathfrak{q}}$, and so $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{supp}_R M$. The minimal injective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow E^1 \longrightarrow \dots,$$

induces the following complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}}(E^0) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}}(E^1) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}}(E^n) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}}(E^{n+1}) \longrightarrow \dots.$$

By the assumption, we have $\mu_R^i(\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}, M_{\mathfrak{q}}) = 0$ for $i \neq n$. We obtain $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}}(E^i) = 0$ for every $i \neq n$. Therefore, we have

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}}(E^n) \cong H_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}}^n(M_{\mathfrak{q}}).$$

Hence, $H_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}}^n(M_{\mathfrak{q}})$ is injective as an $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module.

iii) $\Rightarrow$ iv) The claim follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{supp}_R(M)$ (see the proof of Proposition 3.2 *vii) $\Rightarrow$ iii)*).

iv) $\Rightarrow$ v) By assumption and Remark 2.3 iii), $\text{depth}_R(M) = \dim(R) = \text{injdim}_R(M)$. So, the claim follows from Proposition 3.2.

v) $\Rightarrow$ vi) This is clear.

vi) $\Rightarrow$ vii) This follows from Definition and Remark 2.1 vi).

vii) $\Rightarrow$ viii) By Proposition 3.2, $\text{depth}_R(M) = \text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim_R(M) = \dim(R)$. Also, we have $\text{depth}_R(M) \leq \text{injdim}_R(M)$. So

$$\text{depth}_R(M) = \text{ht}_M(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim_R(M) = \dim(R) \leq \text{injdim}_R(M).$$

The assertion follows from Remark 2.3 iv).

ivii) $\Rightarrow$ iii) Proposition 3.2 yields that M is b.b.C.M.. Since $\text{depth}(R) = \dim(R)$, R is Cohen-Macaulay and therefore R is catenary. Therefore by [21, Proposition 2.6], $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is b.b.C.M. as an $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. So, from Definition and Remark 2.1 vi), $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{ht}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$. On the other hand we know that $\text{injdim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \infty$. So by Lemma 3.3, $\mu_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ for all $i > \dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Therefore $\mu_R^i(\mathfrak{p}, M) \neq 0$ if and only if $i \neq \text{ht}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Note that $\mu_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mu_R^i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ for all i . $\square$

4. SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS FOR FINITE TYPE COMPLETE BIG COHEN-MACAULAY MODULES OF FINITE INJECTIVE DIMENSION

In this section, we present some characterizations for a Gorenstein $\hat{R}$ -module M .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring and M an R -module. Suppose that $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ is both R -regular and M -regular. Then:*

i) If M is a b.b.C.M. R -module and $\text{injdim}_R(M) = \text{depth}(R)$, then

$$\text{injdim}_{R/xR}(M/xM) = \text{injdim}_R(M) - 1.$$

ii) $\mu_R^i(\mathfrak{m}, M) = \mu_{R/xR}^{i-1}(\mathfrak{m}/xR, M/xM)$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Proof. i) By [19, Corollary 3.3.6(i)], we have $\text{injdim}_{R/xR}(M/xM) \leq \text{injdim}_R(M) - 1 = \text{depth}(R) - 1$.

It is clear that M/xM is a b.b.C.M. as an R/xR -module. So, by Definition and Remark 2.1 vi), we have

$$\text{depth}(R) - 1 \leq \dim(R/xR) = \text{depth}_{R/xR}(M/xM) \leq \text{injdim}_{R/xR}(M/xM).$$

We can deduce the result from the above facts.

ii) This follows from [9, p. 140, Lemma 2(i)]. $\square$

Now we are able to prove our main result of this section.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d and M a nonzero R -module. The following conditions are equivalent:*

i) M is complete and big Cohen-Macaulay with respect to a system of parameters $x_1, \dots, x_d$ such that $\text{injdim}_R M$ and $\mu_R^d(\mathfrak{m}, M)$ are finite.

ii) M is a Gorenstein $\hat{R}$ -module.

iii) M is complete and for all Cohen-Macaulay R -module L of dimension t , we have

- a) $\text{Ext}_R^i(L, M) = 0$ for all $i \neq d - t$, and
 b) $\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(L, M), M) \cong L \otimes_R F$, where F is a finitely generated free $\hat{R}$ -module.

Proof. i) $\Rightarrow$ ii) Since M is a complete R -module and $\hat{M}$ is an $\hat{R}$ -module, M has an $\hat{R}$ -module structure. From Remark 2.3 iv) and v), $\text{injdim}_R(M) = \text{depth}(R)$ and R is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Also, Proposition 3.2 implies that M is a b.b.C.M. R -module. Hence, by Lemma 4.1,

$$\mu_R^d(\mathfrak{m}, M) = \mu_{R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)R}^0(\mathfrak{m}/(x_1, \dots, x_d), M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M)$$

and

$$\text{injdim}_{R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)R}(M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M) = \text{injdim}_R(M) - d = 0.$$

Therefore, by Matlis decomposition theorem, we get the following $R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)R$ -isomorphism.

$$M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M \cong (E_{R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)R}(R/\mathfrak{m}))^{\mu_R^d(\mathfrak{m}, M)}.$$

Since $E_{R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)R}(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is finitely generated as an $R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)R$ -module, it turns out that $M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M$ is a finitely generated $R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)R$ -module. Now, from the natural isomorphism

$$R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)R \cong \hat{R}/(x_1, \dots, x_d)\hat{R},$$

we conclude that $M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M$ is a finitely generated $\hat{R}/(x_1, \dots, x_d)\hat{R}$ -module. Thus, there exist $m_1, \dots, m_t$ in M such that $M = \sum_{i=1}^t \hat{R}m_i + \mathfrak{m}M$. It is easy to see that M is complete as an $\hat{R}$ -module with respect to $\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}$ -adic topology. Also, the finitely generated $\hat{R}$ -module $\sum_{i=1}^t \hat{R}m_i$ is complete with respect to $\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}$ -adic topology. So, by Remark 2.3 i), we have $M = \sum_{i=1}^t \hat{R}m_i$, that is, M is a finitely generated $\hat{R}$ -module.

Let $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow E^\bullet$ be an injective resolution of M as an $\hat{R}$ -module. Since any injective $\hat{R}$ -module is injective as an R -module, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) &\cong H^i(\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, E^\bullet)) \\ &\cong H^i(\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{R}, E^\bullet))) \\ &\cong H^i(\text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{R}/\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}, E^\bullet)) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_{\hat{R}}^i(\hat{R}/\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}, M). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu_R^i(\mathfrak{m}, M) = \mu_{\hat{R}}^i(\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}, M)$ for all $i \geq 0$. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.4, we have $\mu_R^i(\mathfrak{m}, M) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$ and $\mu_R^d(\mathfrak{m}, M) \neq 0$. So the claim follows from [13, Theorem 3.11].

ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii) It is easy to see that M is a complete R -module with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic topology. Since M is a Gorenstein $\hat{R}$ -module, $\hat{R}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring by [13, Theorem 3.11]. So R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

Let K be the canonical module of $\hat{R}$. As $\hat{R}$ -modules, M is isomorphic to $\oplus_{\mu_{\hat{R}}^d(\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}, M)} K$ by [14, Corollary 2.7]. So, the claim (a) follows from Corollary 2.11 ii).

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(L, M), M) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(\oplus_{\mu_{\hat{R}}^d(\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}, M)} \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(L, K), M) \\ &\cong \oplus_{\mu_{\hat{R}}^d(\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}, M)} \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(L, K), M) \\ &\cong \oplus_{(\mu_{\hat{R}}^d(\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}, M))^2} \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(L, K), K). \end{aligned}$$

From Corollary 2.11 iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-t}(L, M), M) &\cong \oplus_{(\mu_{\hat{R}}^d(\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}, M))^2} (L \otimes_R \hat{R}) \\ &\cong L \otimes_R (\oplus_{(\mu_{\hat{R}}^d(\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}, M))^2} \hat{R}). \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of b).

iii) $\Rightarrow$ i) Let $L = R/\mathfrak{m}$. Since $\dim_R L = 0$, L is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension zero. Then a) and Remark 2.3 iii) implies that $\text{depth}_R(M) = \text{injdim}_R(M) = d$, and so by Proposition 3.2, M is b.b.C.M. On the other hand b) yields that

$$\dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Ext}_R^d(\text{Ext}_R^d(R/\mathfrak{m}, M), M))$$

is finite. We set $V = \text{Ext}_R^d(R/\mathfrak{m}, M)$. Then $V = \oplus_{i \in I} F_i$ such that $F_i = R/\mathfrak{m}$ for all $i \in I$. So, $\text{Ext}_R^d(\text{Ext}_R^d(R/\mathfrak{m}, M), M) = \prod_{i \in I} V_i$ such that $V_i = V$ for all $i \in I$. Thus I is finite, and so $\dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}} V$ is finite. The result follows as required. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] M.P. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **60**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **39**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [3] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **150**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

- [4] E. Enochs, *Flat covers and flat cotorsion modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **92**(2) (1984), 179–184.
- [5] H.B. Foxby, *Gorenstein modules and related modules*, Math. Scand., **31** (1972), 267–284.
- [6] H.B. Foxby, *On the μ^i in a minimal injective resolution II*, Math. Scand., **41**(1) (1977), 19–44.
- [7] A. Grothendieck, *Local cohomology*, Lect. Notes Math., **41**, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [8] J. Herzog and E. Kunz et al., *Der kanonische Modul eines Cohen-Macaulay-Rings*, Lect. Notes Math., **238**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [9] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **8**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [10] I. Reiten, *The converse to a theorem of Sharp on Gorenstein modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **32** (1972), 417–420.
- [11] J. Rotman, *Introduction to homological algebra*, Academic Press, 1979.
- [12] R.Y. Sharp, *The Cousin complex for a module over a commutative Noetherian ring*, Math. Z., **112** (1969), 340–356.
- [13] R.Y. Sharp, *Gorenstein modules*, Math. Z., **115** (1970), 117–139.
- [14] R.Y. Sharp, *On Gorenstein modules over a complete Cohen-Macaulay local ring*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser., **22**(2) (1971), 425–434.
- [15] R.Y. Sharp, *Cohen-Macaulay properties for balanced big Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **90**(2) (1981), 229–238.
- [16] R.Y. Sharp, *A Cousin complex characterization of balanced big Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), **33** (1982), 471–485.
- [17] R.Y. Sharp and Z.M. Tang, *On the structure of Cousin complexes*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **33**(1) (1993), 285–297.
- [18] A.M. Simon, *Some homological properties of complete modules*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **108**(2) (1990), 231–246.
- [19] J. Strooker, *Homological questions in local algebra*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **145**, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1990).
- [20] S. Zarzuela, *Systems of parameters for non-finitely generated modules and big Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Mathematika, **35**(2) (1988), 207–215.
- [21] S. Zarzuela, *Chain conditions arising from the study of non-finitely generated modules over commutative rings*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **153**, Dekker, New York, (1994), 249–256.

M.A. ESMKHANI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZANJAN UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 45195-313, ZANJAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: esmkhani@znu.ac.ir

M. TOUSI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHAHID BEHESHTI UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN-
AND-INSTITUTE FOR STUDIES IN THEORETICAL PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, P.O. Box 19395-
5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: mtousi@ipm.ir